

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/627,721	07/28/2003	Yo-Hong Lee	TAIE 196	7085	
75	590 04/26	4	EXAMINER		
Rabin & Berdo, P.C.			WAMBACH, MARGARET R		
Suite 500 1101 14th Stree	t		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Washington, DC 20005			2816		
			DATE MAILED: 04/26/200	DATE MAILED: 04/26/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	,
	10/627,721	LEE, YO-HONG	d
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	······································
	Margaret R Wambach	2816	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addi	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwithin the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this com O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_,		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	·		nerits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on 28 July 2003 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to b Irawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to: See 37 CFR	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National S	tage
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te	52)

Application/Control Number: 10/627,721

Art Unit: 2816

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claims 8 and 9 are objected to because of the following informalities: "vibration detector" has already been recited in claim 1. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are replete with terms which are either lacking antecedent basis or which create confusion with regard to antecedent basis for the claim language. For example, in claim 1, line 7, "a counting circuit" was already recited on line 5, in claim 3, line 6, "a signal detection circuit" was already recited in claim 1, lines 7 and 8, in claim 3, line 7, "the vibration detection signals" lack a clear antecedent basis, in claim 4, "a signal comparator" should presumably be "the comparator" since it refers to the comparator of claim 3, line 9. Similarly, applicant should choose consistent language for all other references to the comparator in the claim language. In claim 5, "the reference voltage terminal of the comparator" does not have a clear antecedent basis. In claim 6, "the voltage divider" lacks a clear antecedent basis (the voltage divider is first recited in claim 4, not claim 3.) Claims 7-10 recite "a signal amplifier" but that element was already recited in claim 1.

Art Unit: 2816

In claims 3 and 4, "comparator having variable reference voltage" is indefinite insofar the phrase is ungrammatical and applicant's intended meaning has not been distinctly claimed. Similarly, the parenthetical term "(reference voltage terminal)" in claim 4 is indefinite because it is unclear how the term in parentheses relates to the other circuit elements and applicant's intended meaning has not been distinctly claimed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Darley et al. (hereafter "Darley") and Chen et al (hereafter "Chen")

The following rejection of the claims under 35 USC 103 is made in accordance of the examiner's best interpretation of the indefinite claim language in light of the foregoing rejection under 35 USC 112.

Referring to Figure 1 and as recited in claim 1, Darley teaches a main body (100), a printed circuit board (mentioned in the abstract), and a counting circuit being formed of a signal amplifier, a signal detection circuit and a processor (column 6, lines 17-50 disclose that circuitry within the housing calculates a person's pace. Insofar as "pace" means the number of footfalls (or units of distance) per a unit of time, circuitry within the housing of Darley has an intrinsic counting property and thus constitutes a counting circuit, which counts and, accordingly, detects signals representing either

Application/Control Number: 10/627,721

Art Unit: 2816

footfalls or the passage of units of distance. Darley also discloses that the housing may include "an amplifier" and a "processor". Further, the receiver (column 6, line 29) of Darley constitutes a signal detection circuit.)

With further reference to claim 1, Darley also teaches a vibration detector (the accelerometer, referenced in the abstract would detect vibrations insofar as it would detect any motion (column 4, lines 21-25) which is installed on the printed circuit board (column 8, line 55) An accelerometer intrinsically includes a sensor or "a sensor pad" that, with other circuit elements, create a signal responsive to an accelerating force. Column 4 lines 32-35 state that the accelerometer (and thus its sensor pad) is perpendicular to the acceleration sensing axis (118) (coincident to the direction of motion insofar as 118 is depicted in Figure 2 as being straight ahead of the wearer) and a display unit (column 6, lines 48-50, for instance).

The difference between Darley and the invention as recited in claim 1 lies in the fact that Darley only specifically mentions that the acceleratometer is installed on the circuit board; it does not state that a display unit is also installed on the circuit board as recited in claim 1.

However, a display installed on a circuit board is taught by Chen. Chen teaches an LED display which is installed on a circuit board.

To produce the structure recited in claim 1, Darley would have to be modified to install its LED display (column 6, line 53) on its circuit board as taught by Chen. One motivation for such a modification is that a circuit board provides the convenience of having both elements fixed in place in a structure which would be subject to much

Art Unit: 2816

jostling and abrupt motion that could cause breakage if the display was not fastened in place and would also eliminate the need for a transmitter/receiver that would be necessary if the display was fastened instead to the wearer's wrist.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-10 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Sham et al teaches circuitry for a structure including a pedometer which incorporates similar elements as the present invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Margaret R Wambach whose telephone number is (571)272-1756. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday, Thursday and Friday 6am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Callahan can be reached on (571)272-1740. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2816

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Margaret R Wambach Primary Examiner Art Unit 2816 Page 6

mrw